

## **Record of Cabinet portfolio holder decision**

Local Government Act 2000 and the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012

Decision made by	Cllr Anna Badcock	
Key decision?	No	
Date of decision (same as date form signed)		
Name and job title of officer requesting the decision	Emma Dolman Arts Centre Director and Arts Manager	
Officer contact details	Tel: 01235 515131 Email: <u>emma.dolman@southandvale.gov.uk</u>	
Decision	To approve the Cornerstone five year business plan 2016/17-2020/21 and the transfer of £50,000 from the provisional capital programme to the approved capital programme to provide a budget for bringing the café bar operation in-house.	
Reasons for decision	Cornerstone is the purpose-built arts centre in Didcot owned and funded by the council. An independent consultancy carried out a business review of Cornerstone in Q3 of 2015/16 and this review stated that Cornerstone was performing well - above national average in its programme gross profit – and was well respected by those who used it. The review recommended areas where Cornerstone could develop and increase awareness and usage of the venue still further.	
	The council currently funds Cornerstone some £460,000 a year, which has remained largely static for seven years despite inflation and increasing overheads. The council is aware of significant financial pressures in five years' time and, therefore, is considering closely all options to reduce costs and increase income. Therefore, cabinet members charged Cornerstone officers with producing a business plan that would lower the amount of subsidy required by the venue by at least five per cent year on year for five years.	
	The Cornerstone five year business plan sets out how officers aim to achieve a reduction in subsidy based on growing all areas of the Cornerstone business:	

<ul> <li>performance programme – increasing footfall, profit margin and, in time, number of shows presented</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>courses and workshops programme – increasing profit margin, number and range of classes presented, and securing increased external funding</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>exhibition and retail programme – increasing range and sales, increasing fees to exhibit</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>café bar – bringing the café bar in-house* to increase control, quality, footfall and profit</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>long-term rental of space – initially rental of Chalk room to a commercial gallery</li> </ul>
• short-term hiring of space – increasing business usage.
*The business plan identifies upfront funds needed outside of the revenue budget to bring the café bar in house – purchase of café bar equipment to operate (currently provided by the commissioned caterer); literature collateral, press and PR to ensure successful launch and costs associated with recruiting café bar personnel. Officers recommend that the cabinet member for the arts agrees to transfer £50,000 from the provisional capital programme to the approved capital programme to provide a budget for bringing the café bar operation in-house.
These developments to the business sit alongside developing a dynamic strategic and tactical marketing plan taking in technological tactics to grow the customer base.
The business plan is based on detailed developments of the six areas of business and the figures returned in the profit and loss forecasts are based on actual projections. Cornerstone officers are aware that at least five per cent savings must be achieved year on year for each of the five years and our aim will be to overachieve against this.
Cabinet members suggested that there should be a further review of progress in two years' time, after the 2016/17 and 2017/18 budget closedowns.
The Cornerstone business plan also sets out that officers will:
<ul> <li>carry out an operational review to determine the best structure to deliver the business plan successfully and actions needed to ensure the correct personnel are in post</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>carry out process mapping to ensure that our processes are as efficient and effective as possible</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>determine what extra skills are needed on the Cornerstone advisory board and create job descriptions</li> </ul>

	and a recruitment process in order to secure these			
	skills.			
	Officers recommend the cabinet member for the arts to			
	approve this business plan, and to encourage the			
	Cornerstone team in its efforts to exceed its savings target.			
Alternative options	Cornerstone could continue with the current level of			
rejected	subsidy, which would maintain the current arts offer, but			
	would not achieve any savings on an ongoing basis.			
	Alternatively, the subsidy could be reduced significantly,			
	which would reduce the venue's arts offer radically, or the			
	subsidy could be removed completely, which would result			
	in the loss of an arts offer in this growth area, and some			
	ongoing costs unless another use could be found for this			
	specialised building.			
	opeolaneed bunding.			
	Garden city			
	Culture paper			
Legal implications	The bringing of the café bar operation in house may give			
	rise to legal implications but that these are not seen to be			
	insurmountable by our legal officer.			
	inournournable by our legal enfoor.			
	There may need to be agreements about transfer of			
	equipment and TUPE can sometimes have legal			
	implications. The new café operation and fit out is likely to			
	give rise to some legal aspects such as the fitting out			
	contracts. But we will be working with our legal officer in			
	advance on these as part of our full implementation plan.			
Financial implications	The revenue implications of this business plan are that			
	Cornerstone would aim to increase its income year on year			
	for five years, resulting in the subsidy reducing from some			
	$\pounds 466,000$ in 2015/16 to some $\pounds 360,000$ in 2020/21. This			
	would result in a saving of some £311,000 subsidy over the			
	five years, compared to if the subsidy had remained the			
	same for this period.			
	The capital cast to deliver this business plan is some			
	The capital cost to deliver this business plan is some			
	£50,000 related to up-front costs to bring the catering in			
	house (service fit-out and equipment, press and PR to			
	ensure footfall for new operation, design and print of			
	menus, etc., upgrade to space). The budget for this would			
	be transferred from the capital contingency budget in the			
	provisional capital programme to the approved capital			
	programme. The council would lose interest of £250 a year			
	(assuming an average rate of return of 0.5 per cent) by			
	spending this money rather than investing it as it does at			
	the moment. If the authority wished to replenish the			
	reserves over the planned life of the scheme (five years),			
	then the revenue cost of this scheme would increase to			
	£10,160 per year.			
1				

Other implications	The profit and loss forecast relies on the general catering staff being paid the national living wage of £7.20 per hour plus on costs, or the lower 'minimum wage' rates for workers under 25 plus on costs, which would ensure also that we were fully legally compliant. These are lower than the living wage rate, which is currently £8.25 per hour and which the council pays to employee (but not casuals). If TUPE'd over staff or new staff were employees rather than casuals the council would still be legally compliant but SMB would need to consider whether it wanted to publish a change to the current pay statement.			
Background papers considered	Cornerstone five year final draft business plan 2016/17 – 2020/21			
Declarations/conflict of interest? Declaration of other councillor/officer consulted by the Cabinet member?				
List consultees		Name	Outcome	Date
	Ward councillors	Margaret Davies Anthony Dearlove Anthony Nash Steve Connel Tony Harbour Bill Service Alan Thompson Margaret Turner	No comments or queries	Date sent 19.04.16 – no comments by 24.05.16
	Legal	Deirdre Smith	Approved	21 April 2016
	Finance	William Jacobs	Approved	6 April 2016
	Human resources	Mark Gibbons	Approved	20 April 2016
	Sustainability	Heather Saunders	Approved	27 April 2016
	Diversity and equality	Cheryl Reeves	Approved	27 April 2016
	Communications	Gavin Walton	Approved	26 April 2016
	Strategic Management Board	David Buckle	No further comment or query	Date sent 19.04.16 – no comments by 24.05.16
Confidential decision? If so, under which exempt category? Call-in waived by Scrutiny Committee chairman?	No.			

Has this been discussed by Cabinet members?	Yes	
Cabinet portfolio holder's signature To confirm the decision as set out in this notice.	Signature	_Anna Badcock
	Date	_27.05.16

## ONCE SIGNED, THIS FORM MUST BE HANDED TO DEMOCRATIC SERVICES IMMEDIATELY.

For Democratic Services office use only			
Form received	Date: 27 May 2016	Time: 15:08	
Date published to all councillors	Date: 31 May 2016		
Call-in deadline	Not applicable		